arXiv:1611.09002v1 [math.CO] 28 Nov 2016

Precolouring extension of Vizing's theorem

António Girão * Ross J. Kang †

November 29, 2016

Abstract

Fix a palette $\mathcal{K}$ of $\Delta + 1$ colours, a graph with maximum degree Δ, and a matching M with minimum distance between edges at least 9. If the edges of M are arbitrarily precoloured from $\mathcal{K}$, then there is guaranteed to be a proper edge-colouring using only colours from $\mathcal{K}$ that extends the precolouring on M to the entire graph. This extends a classic theorem of Vizing and, independently, Gupta. Moreover, it provides evidence towards a conjecture of Albertson and Moore (2001). We also show that the distance requirement can be lowered to 5 when the graph contains no cycle of length 5.

1 Introduction

Given a multigraph G of maximum edge multiplicity μ and maximum degree Δ, we are interested in proper $(\Delta+\mu)$-edge-colourings of G that extend some precolouring on a matching. If the precoloured matching is empty, the classic theorem of Vizing [6] and, independently, Gupta, cf. [4], guarantees that there is at least one such colouring.

Theorem 1 (Gupta, cf. [4] and Vizing [6])**.** *Given a multigraph G of maximum edge multiplicity μ and maximum degree Δ, there is a proper $(\Delta + \mu)$-edge-colouring of G.*

Extending this, Berge and Fournier [2] proved a precoloured matching extension result with the assumption that all precoloured edges have the same colour. We shall in fact use an important special case of their result that extends Theorem 1.

Theorem 2 (Fournier, cf. [2])**.** *Let G be a multigraph of maximum edge multiplicity μ and maximum degree Δ, and let M be a maximal matching of G. Then there exists a proper $(\Delta + \mu - 1)$-edge-colouring of $G \setminus M$.*

Our aim is to show the following result, which also extends Theorem 1.

Theorem 3. *Let G be a multigraph of maximum edge multiplicity μ and maximum degree Δ and M a set of edges such that the minimum distance[1] between two edges of M is at least 9. If M is arbitrarily precoloured from the palette $\mathcal{K} = [\Delta + \mu] = \{1, \dots, \Delta + \mu\}$, then there is a proper edge-colouring of G using colours from $\mathcal{K}$ that agrees with the precolouring on M.*

* Department of Pure Mathematics and Mathematical Statistics, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK; A.Girao@dpmms.cam.ac.uk. This author would like to thank Radboud University for its hospitality during a visit in which most of the research was done.

† Department of Mathematics, Radboud University, Nijmegen, Netherlands; ross.kang@gmail.com.

[1] In this paper, we refer to the distance between two edges as the number of edges in a shortest path between two of their endpoints.

This provides evidence towards a conjecture of Albertson and Moore [1], which asserts Theorem 3 for simple G and minimum distance 3 rather than 9. It also provides some progress towards two stronger versions of this conjecture (one of which is Theorem 3 with distance 2 rather than 9) which were posed by the present authors together with Edwards, van den Heuvel, Puleo, and Sereni [3]. In this latter work, it was shown that Theorem 3 does not hold if the distance condition is 1 instead of 9. Until now no version of Theorem 3 was known to hold for any fixed distance constraint on the precoloured edges.

Our proof of Theorem 3 is short and roughly it takes the following strategy. Let $M' \supseteq M$ be a maximal matching of G. By Theorem 2, there is a proper edge-colouring of $G \setminus M'$ using only colours from $[\Delta + \mu - 1]$. We would like to colour all edges of $M' \setminus M$ with colour $\Delta + \mu$. For every $i \in [\Delta + \mu - 1]$, if there is an edge of $G \setminus M'$ that is coloured i and incident to some edge precoloured i, then we would like to recolour that edge with the colour $\Delta + \mu$. In the proof, we use a Vizing fan argument to help us resolve the problems that arise.

Using the same strategy, we also show how we can afford to relax the distance constraint on the precoloured matching provided we impose a mild structural constraint on the graph.

Theorem 4. *Let G be a multigraph of maximum edge multiplicity μ and maximum degree Δ. Suppose G contains no cycle of length 5 as a subgraph. Let M be a set of edges such that the minimum distance between any two edges is at least 5. If M is arbitrarily precoloured from the palette $\mathcal{K} = [\Delta + \mu] = \{1, \dots, \Delta + \mu\}$, then there is a proper edge-colouring of G using colours from $\mathcal{K}$ that agrees with the precolouring on M.*

2 Proofs

Proof of Theorem 3. Let us write $\Phi : M \to \mathcal{K}$ for the precolouring on M. For each $i \in \mathcal{K}$, we write $M_i \subseteq M$ for the edges precoloured i. Let α be the cardinality of a smallest matching $M' \supseteq M$ such that there exists a proper $(\Delta + \mu - 1)$-edge-colouring of $G \setminus M'$. Theorem 2 certifies that α is well defined.

For any matching $M' \supseteq M$ and any proper edge-colouring $\varphi : E(G \setminus M') \to [\Delta + \mu - 1]$, we define $\Delta + \mu - 1$ sets $A_1^{M',\varphi}, \dots, A_{\Delta+\mu-1}^{M',\varphi}$ as follows. Suppose $i \in [\Delta + \mu - 1]$. For each endpoint u of an edge of M_i, we let $A_u^{M',\varphi}$ denote all edges that are contained in the maximal path $P_u^{M',\varphi}$ beginning at u that alternates between edges coloured i by φ and edges of $M' \setminus M$. We shall find it useful to write the path $P_u^{M',\varphi} = w_0^u e_0^u w_1^u e_1^u w_2^u e_2^u \cdots$ for vertices w_k^u and edges e_k^u where $w_0^u = u$. We take $A_i^{M',\varphi}$ to be the union of all $A_u^{M',\varphi}$, u the endpoint of an edge of M_i. So $A_i^{M',\varphi} \cup M_i$ induces a disjoint union of paths and cycles.

It is helpful to note that, if we find M' and φ such that *every* set $A_u^{M',\varphi}$ contains at most one edge, then we are done by giving colour $\Delta + \mu$ to every such edge and to every edge of $M' \setminus M$. (This is the strategy we described informally before the proof.)

More importantly, we are also done if we find M' and φ such that $A_i^{M',\varphi}$ induces a subgraph that is disconnected from that of $A_j^{M',\varphi}$ for every $i \neq j \in [\Delta + \mu - 1]$ as well as from $M_{\Delta+\mu}$. This is true because then we can give colour $\Delta + \mu$ to every edge in $A_i^{M',\varphi} \setminus M'$ and colour i to every edge in $A_i^{M',\varphi} \cap M'$ for every $i \in [\Delta + \mu - 1]$.

We now fix a choice of $M' \supseteq M$ and $\varphi : E(G \setminus M') \to [\Delta + \mu - 1]$ such that $|M'| = \alpha$. Moreover, we make our choice so that it minimises the number β of endpoints u of edges in M for which $|A_u^{M',\varphi}| > 1$, and subject to that it minimises the number γ of edges e in M with

endpoints u and v for which there is even index t at which either of the path vertices w_t^u or w_t^v is at distance at least 3 from e.

The rest of the proof is devoted to showing that under this choice the subgraphs induced by $A_i^{M',\varphi}$, $i \in [\Delta + \mu - 1]$, are disconnected from one another and from $M_{\Delta+\mu}$.

Claim 1. *For any edge in M with endpoints u and v, either $|A_u^{M',\varphi}| \leq 1$ or $|A_v^{M',\varphi}| \leq 1$.*

Suppose otherwise. We now construct a maximal Vizing fan pivoting on w_2^u, where our aim is to colour e_1^u with a colour from $[\Delta + \mu - 1]$ and adjust φ so that it becomes a proper $(\Delta + \mu - 1)$-edge-colouring of $G \setminus (M' \setminus \{e_1^u\})$. If this succeeds, then there is a contradiction with the minimality of α.

We follow a standard convention in edge-colouring by writing $\varphi(z)$ for the set of colours appearing on the edges incident to z and $\overline{\varphi}(a)$ for $[\Delta + \mu - 1] \setminus \varphi(z)$ where z is any vertex of the graph.

Recall [5] that a *multi-fan* at z with respect to the edge e and φ is a sequence $F = (f_1, x_1, \ldots, f_p, x_p)$, $p \geq 1$, where $f_1, \ldots, f_p$ are distinct edges, $f_1 = e$, and f_k has endpoints z and x_k for all $k \in [p]$. Furthermore, for every edge f_k, $k > 1$, there is a vertex x_ℓ, $\ell \in [k-1]$, such that $\varphi(f_k) \in \overline{\varphi}(x_\ell)$.

We have already argued above that there is no proper $(\Delta + \mu - 1)$-edge-colouring of $G \setminus (M' \setminus \{e_1^u\})$. Let us then choose $F = (f_1, x_1, \ldots, f_p, x_p)$ to be a maximal multi-fan at w_2^u with respect to e_1^u and φ. By Vizing's fan equation (cf. [5, Theorem 2.1(d)]), we have that $p \geq 2$ and moreover x_p cannot be incident to an edge of M'.

Now we can "shift" colours along the multi-fan so that $f_1 = e_1^u$ receives a colour from $[\Delta + \mu - 1]$ and instead add f_p to M'. This new choice of M' and φ (still has $|M'| = \alpha$ and) contradicts the minimality of β. This completes the proof of the claim.

Claim 2. $\gamma = 0$.

Suppose for a contradiction that there is an edge e in M with endpoints u and v for which there is an even index t at which either of the path vertices w_t^u or w_t^v is at distance at least 3 from e. Suppose t is the smallest such index. By the first claim we may assume without loss of generality that it is only the case for w_t^u. Note that w_t^u has distance 3 or 4 from e, and w_s^u is at distance at most 2 from e for each $s < t - 1$.

There is no proper $(\Delta + \mu - 1)$-edge-colouring of $G \setminus (M' \setminus \{e_{t-1}^u\})$, or else there would be a contradiction with the choice of α. We now choose $F = (f_1, x_1, \ldots, f_p, x_p)$ to be a maximal multi-fan at w_t^u with respect to e_{t-1}^u and φ. Again, by Vizing's fan equation, we have that $p \geq 2$ and that x_p cannot be incident to an edge of M'. Again, we "shift" colours along the multi-fan so that $f_1 = e_{t-1}^u$ receives a colour from $[\Delta + \mu - 1]$ and instead add f_p to M'.

Note that x_p is at distance between 2 and 5 from e. It thus follows from the first claim that this new choice of M' and φ does not append $f_p w_t^u e_t^u \ldots$ to the path $P_v^{M',\varphi}$. So under this new choice, there is no even index t at which either of the path vertices w_t^u or w_t^v is at distance at least 3 from e. On the other hand, we could have appended f_p to another path $P_w^{M',\varphi}$, but we are then guaranteed by the distance condition on M that in the old choice there was already an even index t for which w_t^w has at distance at least 3 from its corresponding edge in M. So our new choice contradicts the minimality of γ.

This completes the proof of the claim.

This claim implies that for all $i \in [\Delta + \mu - 1]$ all edges of $A_i^{M',\varphi}$ are within distance 3 of an edge of M_i. So by the distance condition on M the subgraphs induced by $A_i^{M',\varphi}$,

$i \in [\Delta + \mu - 1]$, are disconnected from one another and from $M_{\Delta+\mu}$, so this completes the proof. □

From the last line of the proof, we see that we could slightly relax the condition in Theorem 3 on the precoloured matching by instead having that M is a disjoint union of matchings M' and M'', where M' has minimum distance at least 9 and is arbitrarily precoloured from $[\Delta + \mu - 1]$, M'' is precoloured $\Delta + \mu - 1$, and the minimum distance between an edge in M' and an edge in M'' is at least 4.

The proof of Theorem 4 is conceptually the same as that of Theorem 3, but simpler.

Proof of Theorem 4. Just as before, let $\Phi : M \to \mathcal{K}$ be the precolouring on M. Let α be the cardinality of a smallest matching $M' \supseteq M$ such that there exists a proper $(\Delta + \mu - 1)$-edge-colouring of $G \setminus M'$. Theorem 2 certifies that α is well defined.

For any matching $M' \supseteq M$ and any proper edge-colouring $\varphi : E(G \setminus M') \to [\Delta + \mu - 1]$ we say that an edge $e \in M$ is *bad* if there exist e_1 and e_2 such that $\varphi(e_1) = \Phi(e)$, $e_2 \in M' \setminus M$ and e_1 is adjacent to both e and e_2. If there are no bad edges, then we may extend Φ to a proper edge-colouring of G by colouring any edge $e \notin M'$ with $\varphi(e)$ and any edge $e \in M' \setminus M$ with $\Delta + \mu$. We now fix a choice of M' and φ with $|M'| = \alpha$ and, subject to this, having the least number β of bad edges. The rest of the proof is devoted to showing $\beta = 0$.

Suppose $e \in M$ is a bad edge and let e_1 and e_2 be edges certifying its badness as defined above. We have that e, e_1, e_2 form a path of length 3. Calling w_2 the endpoint of this path that is incident with e_2, let $F = (f_1, x_1, \ldots, f_p, x_p)$ be a maximal multi-fan at w_2 with respect to e_2 and φ. As in the previous proof, by Vizing's fan equation $p \geq 2$ and x_p is not incident with an edge of M'. By "shifting" the colours along F, we can colour $f_1 = e_2$ from $[\Delta + \mu - 1]$ and add f_p to M'.

Under this new choice of φ and M' (which still has $|M'| = \alpha$), any new bad edge would have to be within distance 1 of f_p and thus within distance 4 of e, contradicting the distance requirement on M. Due to the shift, e can no longer be certified bad with the help of e_1 since e_1 is no longer incident to an edge of M'. However, by the choice of β, it must be that e has remained bad with respect to the new choice of φ and M'. So there exist e_1' and e_2' certifying that e is bad such that $\{e_1, e_2\} \cap \{e_1', e_2'\} = \emptyset$ and the union of endpoints of e_1 and e_2 is disjoint from that of e_1' and e_2'. We have furthermore that $e_2' \neq f_p$ or else e, e_1, e_2, f_p, e_1' would form a cycle of length 5. Clearly e_2' and f_p are not incident as both belong to M'.

We can perform another pivot like before but instead at the end of the path $ee_1'e_2'$. Calling w_2' the endpoint of this path that is incident with e_2', a maximal multi-fan $F' = (f_1', x_1', \ldots, f_{p'}', x_{p'}')$ at w_2' with respect to e_2' and φ must have $p' \geq 2$ and must end at a vertex $x_{p'}' \notin M'$. In particular, $x_{p'}'$ and f_p are not incident. Moreover $x_{p'}$ is not the common endpoint of e_1 and e_2 or else there would be a cycle of length 5. Again we shift the colours along F' so as to colour $f_1' = e_2'$ from $[\Delta + \mu - 1]$ and add $f_{p'}'$ to M'.

Arguing in the same way as before, under this second new choice of φ and M' (which also still has $|M'| = \alpha$), there is no new bad edge. Note that we have now modified φ and M' so that neither e_1 nor e_1' may help to certify that e is bad. Thus e is no longer bad since in any proper partial edge-colouring there are at most two edges incident to e coloured $\Phi(e)$. This is a contradiction to the choice of β.

We may therefore conclude that $\beta = 0$ and this completes the proof. □

References

[1] M. O. Albertson and E. H. Moore. Extending graph colorings using no extra colors. *Discrete Math.*, 234(1-3):125–132, 2001.

[2] C. Berge and J.-C. Fournier. A short proof for a generalization of Vizing's theorem. *J. Graph Theory*, 15(3):333–336, 1991.

[3] K. Edwards, A. Girão, J. van den Heuvel, R. J. Kang, G. J. Puleo, and J.-S. Sereni. Extension from Precoloured Sets of Edges. *ArXiv e-prints*, July 2014.

[4] R. P. Gupta. On decompositions of a multi-graph into spanning subgraphs. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 80:500–502, 1974.

[5] M. Stiebitz, D. Scheide, B. Toft, and L. M. Favrholdt. *Graph Edge Coloring. Vizing's Theorem and Goldberg's Conjecture*. Wiley Series in Discrete Mathematics and Optimization. John Wiley & Sons Inc., Hoboken, NJ, 2012.

[6] V. G. Vizing. On an estimate of the chromatic class of a p-graph (in Russian). *Diskret. Analiz*, 3:25–30, 1964.